Z-0767.1		

## SENATE BILL 5749

-----

State of Washington 57th Legislature 2001 Regular Session

By Senators McAuliffe, Horn, Winsley, Oke and Haugen; by request of The Blue Ribbon Commission on Transportation

Read first time 02/01/2001. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to cost-benefit analysis for transportation
- 2 planning; and amending RCW 47.05.010, 47.05.030, 47.05.035, and
- 3 47.05.051.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 **Sec. 1.** RCW 47.05.010 and 1993 c 490 s 1 are each amended to read 6 as follows:
- 7 The legislature finds that solutions to state highway deficiencies
- 8 have become increasingly complex and diverse and that anticipated
- 9 transportation revenues will fall substantially short of the amount
- 10 required to satisfy all transportation needs. Difficult investment
- 11 trade-offs will be required.
- 12 It is the intent of the legislature that investment of state
- 13 transportation funds to address deficiencies on the state highway
- 14 system be based on a policy of ((priority programming)) cost-benefit
- 15 <u>analysis</u> having as its basis the rational selection of projects and
- 16 services according to factual need and an evaluation of life cycle
- 17 costs and benefits and ((which)) that are systematically scheduled to
- 18 carry out defined objectives within available revenue. The state must

p. 1 SB 5749

develop analytic tools to use a common methodology to measure benefits and costs for all modes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

The ((priority programming)) cost-benefit analysis system ((shall)) must ensure preservation of the existing state highway system, relieve congestion, provide mobility for people and goods, support the state's economy, and promote environmental protection and energy conservation.

The ((priority programming)) cost-benefit analysis system ((shall)) must implement the state-owned highway component of the statewide multimodal transportation plan, consistent with local and regional transportation plans, by targeting state transportation investment to appropriate multimodal solutions ((which)) that address identified state highway system deficiencies.

The ((priority programming system)) cost-benefit analysis for improvements ((shall)) must incorporate a broad range of solutions that are identified in the statewide multimodal transportation plan as appropriate to address state highway system deficiencies, including but not limited to relieving congestion, highway expansion, efficiency improvements, nonmotorized transportation facilities, high occupancy vehicle facilities, transit facilities and services, rail facilities and services, and transportation demand management programs.

21 **Sec. 2.** RCW 47.05.030 and 1998 c 171 s 6 are each amended to read 22 as follows:

23 The transportation commission shall adopt a comprehensive six-year investment program specifying program objectives and performance 24 25 measures for the preservation and improvement programs defined in this In the specification of investment program objectives and 26 section. 27 performance measures, the transportation commission, in consultation 28 with the Washington state department of transportation, shall define 29 and adopt standards for effective programming and prioritization practices ((including a needs)) using a cost-benefit analysis process. 30 The ((needs)) analysis process ((shall)) must ensure the identification 31 32 of problems and deficiencies, the evaluation of alternative solutions 33 and trade-offs, and estimations of the costs and benefits of 34 prospective projects. The investment program ((shall)) must be revised biennially, effective on July 1st of odd-numbered years. 35 The 36 investment program ((shall)) must be based upon the needs identified in state-owned highway component of the statewide multimodal 37 transportation plan as defined in RCW 47.01.071(3). 38

SB 5749 p. 2

- preservation program ((<del>shall</del>)) consist<u>s</u> 1 The of those 2 investments necessary to preserve the existing state highway system and to restore existing safety features, giving consideration to lowest 3 4 life cycle costing. The preservation program must require use of the most cost-effective pavement surfaces based on durability. 5 The comprehensive six-year investment program for preservation ((shall)) 6 7 must identify projects for two years and an investment plan for the 8 remaining four years.
- 9 (2) The improvement program ((shall)) consists of investments 10 needed to address identified deficiencies on the state highway system to ((improve mobility)) relieve congestion, safety, support for the 11 economy, and protection of the environment. The six-year investment 12 13 program for improvements ((shall)) must identify projects for two years and major deficiencies proposed to be addressed in the six-year period 14 15 giving consideration to relative benefits and life cycle costing. 16 transportation commission shall give higher priority for correcting 17 identified deficiencies on those facilities classified as facilities of statewide significance as defined in RCW 47.06.140. 18
- The transportation commission shall approve and present the comprehensive six-year investment program to the legislature in support of the biennial budget request under RCW 44.40.070 and 44.40.080.
- 22 **Sec. 3.** RCW 47.05.035 and 1993 c 490 s 4 are each amended to read as follows:
- 24 The commission shall develop and use transportation demand modeling 25 tools to evaluate investments. In developing program objectives and performance measures, the transportation commission shall evaluate 26 27 trade-offs between the preservation and investment programs. In making these investment trade-offs, the commission shall 28 29 evaluate, using cost-benefit techniques, roadway and bridge maintenance 30 activities as compared to roadway and bridge preservation program activities and adjust those programs accordingly. 31
- The commission shall allocate the estimated revenue between preservation and improvement programs giving primary consideration to the following factors:
- 35 (1) The relative needs in each of the programs and the system 36 performance levels that can be achieved by meeting these needs;
- 37 (2) The need to provide adequate funding for preservation to 38 protect the state's investment in its existing highway system;

p. 3 SB 5749

- 1 (3) The continuity of future transportation development with those
- 2 improvements previously programmed; and
- 3 (4) The availability of dedicated funds for a specific type of 4 work.
- 5 **Sec. 4.** RCW 47.05.051 and 1998 c 175 s 12 are each amended to read 6 as follows:

7 The comprehensive six-year investment program shall be based upon

- 8 the needs identified in the state-owned highway component of the 9 statewide multimodal transportation plan as defined in RCW 47.01.071(3)
- 10 and priority selection systems that incorporate the following criteria:
- 11 (1) Priority programming for the preservation program shall take
- 12 into account the following, not necessarily in order of importance:
- 13 (a) Extending the service life of the existing highway system,
- 14 <u>including using the most cost-effective pavement surfaces available</u>
- 15 <u>based on durability</u>;
- 16 (b) Ensuring the structural ability to carry loads imposed upon 17 highways and bridges; and
- 18 (c) Minimizing life cycle costs. The transportation commission in
- 19 carrying out the provisions of this section may delegate to the
- 20 department of transportation the authority to select preservation
- 21 projects to be included in the six-year program.
- (2) Priority programming for the improvement program shall take
- 23 into account the following:

35

- (a) Support for the state's economy, including job creation and job preservation;
- 26 (b) The cost-effective movement of people and goods;
- 27 (c) Accident and accident risk reduction;
- 28 (d) Protection of the state's natural environment;
- 29 (e) Continuity and systematic development of the highway 30 transportation network;
- 31 (f) Consistency with local comprehensive plans developed under 32 chapter 36.70A RCW;
- (g) Consistency with regional transportation plans developed under transportation plans developed under RCW;
  - (h) Public views concerning proposed improvements;
- 36 (i) The conservation of energy resources;
- (j) Feasibility of financing the full proposed improvement;
- 38 (k) Commitments established in previous legislative sessions;

SB 5749 p. 4

(1) Relative costs and benefits of candidate programs;

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

21

25

- 2 (m) Available transportation demand management policies that could be used to reduce demand on the highway system; 3
  - (n) Major projects addressing capacity deficiencies which prioritize allowing for preliminary engineering shall be reprioritized during the succeeding biennium, based upon updated project data. Reprioritized projects may be delayed or canceled by the transportation commission if higher priority projects are awaiting funding; and
  - $((\frac{n}{n}))$  (o) Major project approvals which significantly increase a project's scope or cost from original prioritization estimates shall include a review of the project's estimated revised priority rank and the level of funding provided. Projects may be delayed or canceled by the transportation commission if higher priority projects are awaiting funding.
- 15 (3) The commission may depart from the priority programming established under subsections (1) and (2) of this section: (a) To the 16 extent that otherwise funds cannot be utilized feasibly within the 17 program; (b) as may be required by a court judgment, legally binding 18 19 agreement, or state and federal laws and regulations; (c) as may be required to coordinate with federal, local, or other state agency 20 construction projects; (d) to take advantage of some substantial financial benefit that may be available; (e) for continuity of route 22 development; or (f) because of changed financial or physical conditions 23 24 of an unforeseen or emergent nature. The commission or secretary of transportation shall maintain in its files information sufficient to 26 show the extent to which the commission has departed from the 27 established priority.
- (4) The commission shall identify those projects that yield freight 28 29 mobility benefits or that alleviate the impacts of freight mobility 30 upon affected communities.

--- END ---

p. 5 SB 5749